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**Abstract**

Purpose: The aim of the study should be precisely described. It is recommended to add the hypothesis and/or research questions.

Methods: The type of research design, subjects, study period, measurement instruments, and the statistical analysis should be described.

Results: The main results should be described according to the STROBE guidelines for observational studies, or other appropriate reporting guidelines.

Conclusion: The conclusion should present an answer to the purpose, hypothesis, or research questions.

Keywords: Cohort studies; Educational measurement; Program evaluation; Republic of Korea; Research design

(It is mandatory to use **MeSH** terms through MeSH on Demand, available at: [https://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/MeSHonDemand.html](https://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/MeSHonDemand.html%29)). The use of other terms is negotiable with the editorial board.

**Introduction**

Background/rationale

Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported: what is known, what is unknown and important to know; what is the specific topic addressed in the manuscript; and why addressing that particular topic is important

Objectives:

Specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses or research questions, should be described in one paragraph.

**Methods**

Ethics statement: If this study was on human subjects or human-originated materials, Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval, including the approval number, and informed consent from subjects are required. For a clinical trial, IRB approval is mandatory. For a secondary analysis using de-identified data, IRB approval may be waived. Please contact the editorial office to discuss the ethics statement. The most critical points of research and publication ethics are the safety of the study participants and the protection of personal information.

Study design: The study design should be described as follows: an observational study (including cross-sectional, cohort, and case-control studies); an experimental study (including randomized controlled studies); a systematic review and/or meta-analysis; a qualitative study; and a Delphi study. Other types of study design can be described.

Setting

Describe the relevant setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection. Any educational interventions or curriculum development may be described in this section.

Participants

Give the eligibility criteria and the sources and methods of participant selection. The research subjects should also be precisely described (e.g., age, sex, region, school, country, date and duration of the intervention, occupation, etc.). The reason for the inclusion or selection of subjects should be explained. If a certain group is excluded, it should also be explained.

Variables

Clearly define all outcome variables to be measured.

Data sources/ measurement

For each variable of interest, give the sources of data and details of the measurement methods. Questionnaires in non-English languages may also be published as a supplement. If a measurement tool was used, the validity and reliability of the tool should be presented. If a measurement tool developed by other researchers was used, provide a proper citation of the tool and provide permission only if the tool is not freely available to the public. This permission letter should be uploaded during the submission process.

Bias

Discuss the impact of potential biases and remedies put in place to address them.

Study size

Provide a theoretical and/or statistical justification for the sample size used in the study. Explain how an a priori sample size calculation or post hoc power analysis was performed. The a priori sample size calculation or post hoc power analysis should be based on the primary endpoint. Note that a power analysis based on the primary endpoint will not necessarily apply to any secondary measures.

Statistical methods: The statistical methods should be described in sufficient detail to allow the reviewers and any other reader to replicate the analysis. If reviewers want to analyze the data to confirm the results, the raw data will be requested by the editorial office. The computer program used should be specified, including the company and version. The city and the country of the company are included in parentheses. It is encouraged to provide statistical results that reflect the measurement error or uncertainty, such as confidence intervals, in addition to the P-value.

**Results**

Participants

A flow diagram is recommended. Give the demographic characteristics of the study participants.

Main results

The main results should be described logically according to the methods. Raw data of the study should be submitted and mentioned. Briefly describe the core results when data are provided in tables or figures. In the results, audio or video files are also welcomed. Extra supplementary material can be added.

The table(s) and figure(s) should serve the purpose of presenting the results succinctly and efficiently. The content of the tables should not be duplicated in the figures. Add tables in the main text. The table title should contain a precise description so that readers can understand the table content without reading the main text. For table footnotes, use alphabetical superscripts a), b), c). The P-value should be written as a capital letter using a Roman character.

If the main results cannot be presented within a total word count of 2,500, attach the full data as a supplement.

**Discussion**

Key results

Start with the main objectives of the study. Briefly summarize the main findings.

Interpretation

Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, a multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence. Do not present findings that were not described in the results section.

Comparison with previous studies

Please do not repeatedly present the results of previous relevant studies; instead, concisely state any points of discordance or concordance.

Limitations

Discuss the limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both the direction and magnitude of any potential bias.

Generalizability

Discuss the generalizability (external validity) of the study results. Consider the extent to which the results can be beneficial to other health educators around the world.

**Suggestions**

Suggest areas for further study and/or implications for education and practice.

**Conclusion**

Deduce the conclusion from the results, avoiding statements not described in the methods or results. If there were research hypotheses or questions in the introduction section, they should be answered. It is meaningful to mention the usefulness of the content in educational evaluations to promote medical or health education.
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**Legends for figures**

Fig. 1. The legends should contain a precise description so that the figure can be understood by readers without reading the main text.