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Abstract

Purpose: Aim of the study should be precisely described. Hypothesis is recommended to be added.

Methods: Type of research design, subjects, study period, tools, statistic analysis should be described.

Results: Main results should be described.

Conclusion: Conclusion should be an answer to purpose or hypothesis.

Keywords: Cohort studies; Korea, Physical therapists; Program evaluation; Research design

(It is recommended to use MeSH terms through MeSH on Demand available from: [https://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/MeSHonDemand.html)](https://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/MeSHonDemand.html%29))

Introduction

It provides a research background, and specific purpose or objectives. Hypothesis tested can be stated. The references should be exactly pertinent one with a least number. Introduction section should be described in one or two paragraphs.

Methods

Study design: Study design such as descriptive analysis, randomized controlled study, cohort study, or meta analysis should be described.

Materials and/or Subjects: The materials used in the research should be clearly indicated for the further follow-up researches. Any materials purchased should disclose the source of the maker. Research subjects also should be precisely described such as age, sex, region, schools, country, date of intervention period, or job etc. The reason of inclusion or selection of subjects should be explained. If there is exclusion of certain group, it should be also explained. Questionnaires in non-English languages also may be published in appendix.

Technical Information: In describing analytic methods, reporting guideline should be referred to better understanding of the content. If methods are already well-known one, cite method with reference and mention only the modification. If method is something new, describe it more precisely. Complicated statistical methods can be placed in appendix. Method section driven from previous articles is allowed. Research period should be verified.
Statistics: It should be described very meticulously. If reviewers want to analyze the data to confirm the results, the raw data may be provided to editorial office. Computer program used should be verified with company and version. Statistical results are encouraged to provide the measurement error or uncertainty such as confidence intervals besides of P-value.

Ethical approval: If it is an article on human subjects or human-originated materials, there should be an informed consent and Institutional Review Board approval number. If there is no IRB Number, it should be discussed with the editor during the review process.

Results

It should be described logically according to the methods. Tables and figures are recommended to present the results more rapidly and easily. Content of the tables should not be duplicated in figures. Briefly describe the core results related to the conclusion in the text when data are provided in tables or in figures. In the results, audio or video files are also welcomed. Extra supplementary results can be placed in appendix.

 Discussion

It is important to deduce the conclusion from the results avoiding statements not described in methods or results. At the first part of discussion, briefly summarize the main findings, then explore possible explanations for these findings, compare, and contrast the results with other relevant studies. Please do not mention repeatedly the results of previous relevant studies, but mention any difference or concordance. Emphasize the core findings and the conclusions derived from them in the best available evidence. At the last part of discussion, describe the limitation of study, future research plan, and conclusion. If there was a research hypothesis in introduction section, it should be answered. It is meaningful to mention what is the usefulness of the content in educational evaluation to promote the medical health education.
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Appendix

If any materials are not enough to be included in the main text such as questionnaires, they can be listed in Appendix.

 (If there are any supplementary materials to help the understanding of readers or too great amount data to be included in the main text, it may be placed as supplementary data or appendix)

Supplementary materials

Audio recording of the abstract.

Data files are available from: Open data repository address.

Data files are available.
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Legends for figures

Table 1. Recommended word counts, number of references, tables, and figures for manuscript submitted to Journal of Educational Evaluation for Health Professions according to publication type

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Publication type | Word count of abstracta) | Word count of main texta) | Number of references | Number of tables and figures |
| Research article | 250 | 2,500 | 20 | 10 |
| Brief report | 150 | 1,500 | 10 | 5 |
| Case report | 150 | 1,500 | 10 | 5 |
| Review | 250 | 5,000 | 50 | 10 |
| Editorial | Not required | 1,500 | 10 | 5 |
| Opinion | Not required | 1,500 | 10 | 5 |
| Technical report | 250 | 2,500 | 20 | 10 |
| Software | 250 | 2,500 | 20 | 10 |
| Letter to editor | Not required | 1,500 | 10 | 5 |
| Book review | Not required | 1,500 | 10 | 5 |
| Educational/Facultydevelopment material | 250 | 2,500 | 20 | 20 |

a)Maximum number of word count is exclusive of the abstract, references, tables, and figure legends.